ACUTE-ON-CHRONIC LIVER FAILURE: APPLYING THE PIRO CONCEPT
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ABSTRACT

Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF), a clinical syndrome associated with a dismal prognosis, occurs acutely in previously stable cirrhotic patients. An important feature of this syndrome is the potential for reversibility if it is recognised early and supportive measures are instituted before multi-organ failure ensues. In response, there have been recent efforts to better define and understand the pathophysiological basis of the condition so as to aid early diagnosis and management. The PIRO concept is conceptually useful as it indicates a distinction between the insult and the response. Interventions that target inflammation may adversely impact on the ability to control the infection, and interventions that target infection may not be useful if pathophysiological process is being driven through inflammation. A classification based on the PIRO concept may allow the categorisation of patients into distinct pathophysiologic and prognostic groups and allow a multidimensional definition of ACLF.
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INTRODUCTION

An early and proper diagnosis of acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF), together with the identification of indicators associated with disease severity, is critical for outcome prediction and therapy. Although this clinical entity is well recognised, it remains poorly defined due to the extreme heterogeneity in the mode of presentation. In order to clinically describe the group of patients referred to as ACLF, we adopted the definition that these patients would have an acute deterioration in liver function over a short period (up to four weeks), associated with a precipitating event in patients with well-compensated liver disease, characterised by organ failure.1,2 The high prevalence of ACLF and mortality rates associated with it, remains an important healthcare issue as according to reported literature, short-term mortality rates vary from 46% to 89%.3 In recent years, knowledge regarding the pathophysiology of ACLF has largely increased with the aim of improving the survival rates of these patients.

The pathophysiology of ACLF can be explained using the PIRO concept, which was initially developed for use in the sepsis setting.4 Using this concept in ACLF, ‘P’ stands for predisposition: predisposing factors that make a cirrhotic individual more likely to develop ACLF and organ failure. ‘I’ represents the acute insult or precipitating event. ‘R’ stands for the inflammatory/immune response, which occurs as a consequence of the acute insult. ‘O’ signifies organ dysfunction, which is the final sequelae of the inflammatory response generated following the acute insult.5 These could represent the four most important factors determining outcome.

PIRO SYSTEM

Predisposition (P)

In a large prospective study of ACLF patients conducted over six years,2 it was clearly highlighted that the following factors predispose to the development of organ failure (OF) in cirrhotic patients with an acute deterioration:
• Male gender
• Higher bilirubin level
• Lower albumin level
• Higher Child-Pugh (CP) score
• Higher Model of End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score
• Hospital admission in the preceding six months with hepatic decompensation.

Regarding factors that predict survival following the development of organ failure, the researchers found that patients who survived had the following characteristics: lower serum bilirubin, serum creatinine levels, Child-Pugh score and MELD scores, as well as a shorter prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and higher albumin levels.

Although patients that survived following the development of organ failure had lower Child-Pugh score and MELD scores compared to the non-survivors, these scores may not accurately predict survival in ACLF. The literature suggests that once extrahepatic organ failure has developed, then organ failure scores such as the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) or the Acute Physiology, Age, and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) may be more useful in predicting outcome and survival.

Precipitating Events/Acute Insult (I)

In the majority of patients, ACLF usually develops following an identifiable precipitating event. Since patients with ACLF have previously stable disease on the background of either well-compensated or decompensated disease, and thus have a variable functional mass at the time of insult, the precipitants in ACLF are widely variable. These precipitants may directly affect the liver or may be a consequence of an extrahepatic insult (Table 1).

The most common precipitating event in ACLF is infection. According to the literature, it accounts for up to 47% of all precipitating events. In addition to bacterial infections, such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and urinary tract infections, viral infections are also recognised precipitants of ACLF. For example, acute hepatitis A infection superimposed in a patient with chronic hepatitis B cirrhosis is associated with a higher risk of ACLF, and thus a high mortality rate. Cirrhotic patients who develop acute hepatitis B and E infection also have a high risk of developing ACLF, and of death.

Variceal haemorrhage accounts for approximately 20% to 30% of all precipitating events. Alcoholic binge drinking is a contributory factor in between 50-70% of cases studied in the UK, but significantly lower in the patients in the CANONIC study where alcohol binge, as a precipitating event, was observed in about 20% of patients. It is worth mentioning that in any given patient, there may be more than one insult leading to ACLF, and in a proportion of patients, there are no identifiable precipitants.

Table 1. Common precipitating events in acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hepatic precipitants of ACLF</th>
<th>Extra-hepatic precipitants of ACLF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic Hepatitis</td>
<td>Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Viral Hepatitis (A,B,E)</td>
<td>Variceal Haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Induced Liver Injury</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal Vein Trombosis</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic Hepatitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inflammatory Response (R)

Following the initial insult, there is an altered host response to injury, resulting in an excessive systemic inflammatory response. This induces tissue damage and subsequent organ failure. Deregulated inflammation is considered a hallmark of ACLF and the mechanism by which this arises is multifactorial. A hyperdynamic circulation is a common aspect in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. In fact, in these patients with enhanced cytokine production, there are severe disturbances of the cardiovascular system: the circulation becomes hyperdynamic, cardiac output increases, and both blood pressure and systemic vascular resistance decrease.

In addition to the precipitating insult (which may be infectious or non-infectious), there is abundant evidence in the literature to suggest that cirrhotic patients have increased bacterial translocation, secondary to increased intestinal permeability and changes in intestinal microflora.

Despite the insults, ACLF patients are unable to
generate an adequate immune response due to a dysfunctional innate immune system. Firstly, in patients with ACLF, the Kupffer cells (resident macrophages of the liver and main effectors of innate immune system) are bypassed due to the presence of multiple intra and extrahepatic shunts, leading to failure to effectively clear endotoxins. In addition, the reduced protein synthesis that occurs in cirrhosis results in defective complement production. This means that the opsonisation capacity of the Kupffer cells is reduced and thus, bacterial phagocytosis is impaired. Another contributing factor to an altered host response in ACLF is a phenomenon known as “immune paralysis”, akin to that seen in sepsis, and associated with a high mortality. It has been demonstrated that in ACLF patients, there is reduced TNF-α production following stimulation with lipopolysaccharide. There is also a reduced expression of HLA-DR (an antigen-presenting receptor complex) on peripheral monocytes.

The consequence of the immune dysfunction is that the initiating insult overwhelms the host immune system, leading to an exaggerated release of proinflammatory cytokines into the systemic circulation. This results in systematic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). SIRS is defined as the clinical expression of an abnormal generalised inflammatory reaction in organs distant from the initiating insult. It is reported that up to 42% of ACLF patients who develop organ dysfunction have evidence of SIRS despite the absence of infection in these patients. In addition, the presence of SIRS is associated with more severe encephalopathy, an increased incidence of bacterial infection, and renal failure. SIRS also occurs more frequently in non-survivors of ACLF compared with survivors.

Innate immune dysfunction also results in macrocirculatory dysfunction. It is known that patients with ACLF have a hyperdynamic circulation and a high cardiac output. There is also peripheral vasodilatation and a low systemic vascular resistance, resulting in a reduced effective arterial volume and a decrease in mean arterial blood pressure. The consequence of these circulatory changes is end-organ hypoperfusion. This, in combination with a SIRS response, may result in organ failure, multi-organ failure and ultimately death.

**Organ Dysfunction (O)**

Organ failure plays a central role in the clinical course of ACLF, occurring in one third of patients. Following the development of organ failure, there is an estimated mortality rate of 55% and 65% in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and in hospital, respectively. In addition to the liver, the organs commonly affected include the kidneys, brain, circulatory system and adrenal glands.

**Liver:** The hallmark of the liver manifestation of ACLF is hyperbilirubinaemia and coagulopathy. Hyperbilirubinaemia arises as a result of reduced detoxification function of the liver. In addition, the reduction in the liver’s synthetic function leads to a decrease in the production of coagulation factors, and as a consequence, coagulopathy results. Hyperbilirubinaemia and coagulation failure have been identified as independent predictors of mortality in ACLF. Histopathologically, it appears that the presence of cholestasis is associated with increased risk of infection, and balloon degeneration may be associated with a poorer outcome. The mechanism of hepatocyte cell-death in this syndrome remains unclear and requires further studies.

**Kidney:** Renal function is almost universally altered in patients with ACLF. The most common causes of kidney failure are prerenal azotemia, hepatorenal syndrome, and acute tubular necrosis. SIRS is present in approximately 40% of patients with functional renal failure. In addition, the presence of SIRS in patients with functional renal failure was associated with an in-hospital mortality rate of 68%. Further evidence that SIRS plays an important role in kidney failure associated with ACLF, is derived from studies which have shown that the use of anti-inflammatory agents, such as pentoxifylline, improves renal function or significantly decreases the risk of developing renal failure in patients with alcoholic hepatitis.

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is the development of functional renal failure in patients with advanced liver disease. Type 1 HRS, which occurs in an acute setting, is a rapidly progressive decline in renal function associated with a mortality rate of 80% at two weeks. Our current understanding is that HRS develops as a result of the haemodynamic dysregulation associated with portal hypertension. In this setting, splanchnic vasodilatation leads to decreased effective arterial volume, resulting in severe renal vasoconstriction and hypoperfusion. Activation of the sympathetic nervous system through a hepatorenal reflex arc is also thought to play a role. In addition, there is altered renal blood flow autoregulation in patients with HRS. However, attempts to restore this circulatory dysfunction with the use of splanchnic vasoconstrictors (terlipressin)
and volume expanders (albumin) only improves renal function in about 40%-50% of patients. These observations indicate that other pathophysiological mechanisms may be responsible for the development of HRS. Indeed, recent studies have demonstrated histopathological evidence of renal tubular cell-death associated with apoptosis, increased renal tubular expression of toll-like receptor-4, increased urinary markers of acute kidney injury such as neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), and more recently, urinary toll-like receptor-4 providing possible novel biomarkers and approaches to therapy.

Brain: Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) may be due either to a precipitating factor or as a consequence of ACLF. It is a feature in up to 75% of patients with ACLF. Brain oedema is central to the development of HE in ACLF. Hyperammonemia occurs in ACLF because of a reduction in the liver's detoxifying abilities. This high level of ammonia then diffuses into the brain. Once in the brain, it combines with glutamate to form glutamine. An increase in brain glutamine levels results in astrocyte swelling. Hyponatremia, another common finding in ACLF patients, exacerbates astrocyte swelling due to differences in osmolality between the intracellular and the extracellular compartment and systemic inflammation also plays a role in astrocyte swelling and cerebral oedema. Patients with evidence of infection or SIRS are more likely to develop severe encephalopathy. It is likely that a synergy exists between hyperammonemia and inflammation. The high level of ammonia may prime the brain to the deleterious effect of superimposed inflammation by induction of microglial cells, which have a huge repertoire for cytokine production. This explains why measures which reduce the ammonia levels or levels of endotoxemia reduce the occurrence of HE.

Adrenals: Adrenal insufficiency occurs in 68% of patients with cirrhosis and septic shock. Although the mechanism responsible for this is not clear, it may be related to reduction in adrenal blood flow, which occurs as a consequence of circulatory changes in ACLF. In addition, high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, seen in ACLF, inhibit cortisol synthesis. Adrenal insufficiency may contribute to the development of multi-organ failure in ACLF.

CONCLUSIONS

ACLF is a devastating condition associated with a high mortality rate. Despite this, treatment options are limited and mainly supportive. The shortage of cadaveric livers means that liver transplant, the definitive treatment option, is not available to most patients with ACLF. There is an urgent need to better define the condition as well as further understand the patho-physiological basis of the condition. The PIRO system is conceptually useful as it indicates a distinction between the insult and the response, thus providing a framework for a better pathophysiological understanding of this syndrome.
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